Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 45216 City of Weslaco RN101607943 Docket No. 2012-2105-MWD-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A **Media:** MWD

Small Business:

No

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Weslaco Plant, located northeast of Weslaco approximately 4,000 feet east of State Highway 88 and approximately 4,000 feet north of Pike Boulevard, Hidalgo County

Type of Operation:

Wastewater treatment plant

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: February 22, 2013

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$9,300

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$1,860 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$7,440 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$0

Payment Plan: N/A
SEP Conditional Offset: \$0
Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Satisfactory

Major Source: Yes

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2011

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 45216 City of Weslaco RN101607943 Docket No. 2012-2105-MWD-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A **Complaint Information**: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: September 26, 2012

Date(s) of NOE(s): October 2, 2012

Violation Information

Failed to comply with permitted effluent limits for carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand [Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0010619003 Interim II Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

N/A

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require Respondent to, within 90 days, submit written certification of compliance with the permitted effluent limits of TPDES Permit No. WQ0010619003, including specific corrective actions that were implemented at the Facility to achieve compliance and copies of the most current self-reported discharge monitoring reports, demonstrating at least three consecutive months of compliance with all permitted effluent limits.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Steve Villatoro, Enforcement Division,

Enforcement Team 1, MC 169, (512) 239-4930; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-0412

TCEO SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: The Honorable Miguel Wise, Mayor, City of Weslaco, 255 South Kansas

Avenue, Weslaco, Texas 78596

Leonardo Olivares, City Manager, City of Weslaco, 255 South Kansas Avenue, Weslaco,

Texas 78596

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011 8-Oct-2012 Assigned Screening 10-Oct-2012 EPA Due 5-Dec-2012 **PCW** 10-Oct-2012 RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent City of Weslaco Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101607943 Major/Minor Source Major Facility/Site Region 15-Harlingen **CASE INFORMATION** No. of Violations $\overline{1}$ Enf./Case ID No. 45216 Docket No. 2012-2105-MWD-E Order Type 1660 Government/Non-Profit Yes Media Program(s) Water Quality Multi-Media Enf. Coordinator Steve Villatoro EC's Team Enforcement Team 1 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$25,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) \$7,500 Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. **Compliance History** 24.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$1,800 Enhancement for four months of self-reported effluent violations and one Notes NOV with same/similar violations and a reduction for one notice of intent to conduct an audit. Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 \$0 The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 \$0 Subtotal 6 \$0 **Economic Benefit** 0.0% Enhancement* *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Total EB Amounts \$792 Approx. Cost of Compliance \$10,000 **SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7** \$9,300 Final Subtotal 0.0% \$0 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE Adjustment Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. Notes

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Notes

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

PAYABLE PENALTY

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

DEFERRAL

Final Penalty Amount

Final Assessed Penalty

Adjustment

Reduction

20.0%

\$9,300 \$9,300

-\$1,860

\$7,440

PCW

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Respondent City of Weslaco

Case ID No. 45216

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101607943

Media [Statute] Water Quality Enf. Coordinator Steve Villatoro

Compliance History Worksheet

Component	Number of	Enter Number Her	e Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	5	25%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	1	-1%
Addits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Ple	ase Enter Yes or N	5
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
0 0.1.0.	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment Per	centage (Su	btotal 2)
eat Violator (antono (Su	htotal 2)
	Adjustment Per	centage (Su	ototai 3) [
	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		8-74
Satisfactory	Performer Adjustment Per	centage (Su	btotal 7) [
pliance Histo	ry Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for four months of self-reported effluent violations and one NOV wit violations and a reduction for one notice of intent to conduct an audi		
~~~	Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (S	Subtotals 2,	<i>3,</i> & <i>7)</i> [

Scre	ening Date	10-Oct-2012	Docket No. 2012-2105-MWD-E	PCW
		City of Weslaco	Policy Revis	ion 3 (September 2011)
	ase ID No.		PCW I	Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Ref				
		Water Quality		
\$		Steve Villatoro		
Viola	ition Number	<u> </u>		l
	Rule Cite(s)		§ 26.121(a)(1), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and Texas	Verefore
			rge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0010619003	
		Interim II	Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1	
Walskis	- Dintion	Failed to comply	with permitted effluent limits, as documented during a record	
Violatio	n Description	review conduct	ed on September 26, 2012 and shown in the attached table.	
			Base Penalty	\$25,000
>> Environmer	stal Brance	tiv and Buman	Health Matrix	
>> Environmen	itai, riupei		Harm	
	Release		oderate Minor	
OR	Actual		X	
	Potential		Percent 15.0%	
>>Programmat	Falsification	Major M	oderate Minor	
	raisilication	Major M	Percent 0.0%	
Į.		<u> </u>	01070	
	A simplified n	nodel was used to ex	valuate carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand to determine	
	•		of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the	
Matrix			nese discharges, human health or the environment has been	
Notes			s of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human	
		he	alth or environmental receptors.	
			Adjustment \$21,250	
			1	\$3,750
Violation Event	S			
	M b	Colorian Conses	2 123 Number of violation days	
	Number of V	iolation Events	2 123 Number of violation days	
		daily		
		weekly		
		monthly		
	mark only one with an x	quarterly	X Violation Base Penalty	\$7,500
		semiannual		
		annual		
		single event		
		Two	quarterly events are recommended.	
<b>Good Faith Effo</b>	rts to Com	**************************************	0.0% Reduction	\$0
		1	fore NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
		Extraordinary		
		Ordinary		
		N/A	x (mark with x)	
		Notes The	Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for	
		Notes	this violation.	
100		L		
			Violation Subtotal	\$7,500
		_ •		-
Economic Benef	rit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limit Test	
	Fetimate	ed EB Amount	\$792 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$9,300
	Lacillate	LE AMOUNT	Tiolation I mai remark Total	
		-	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) $lacksquare$	\$9,300

	Ε	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	2011 (4.580 Sept. 1910 11 1 1 1 1 1	stantivi Modelik ir ili ili 100 ililini. 110 ililini 110 ililini 110 ililini 110 ililini 110 ililini 110 ilili	eder villede (Milger) in statistika	11 200 00 00 To 0		suind Din SPMD diffse with	THE STATE OF THE S
Case ID No.	•						
ea. Ent. Reference No.							
	Water Quality						Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No.			1968 Art 1988 AF 2008 AF	0000000000		- 0	and the distribution of the co
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a*	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$10,000	31-Dec-2011	31-Jul-2013	1.58	\$792	n/a	\$792
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	requir	ed is the first date	of non-compli	ance. 1	Final date is the ex	plement corrective a pected date of comp	oliance.
Disposal	AITITOAL	1 1214404		0.00		\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
spection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							

	•
El	FFLUENT VIOLATION TABLE
	City of Weslaco
TPD	PES Permit No. WQ0010619003
D	ocket No. 2012-2105-MWD-E
Months	Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand Daily Average Concentration
	Limit = 10 mg/L
December 2011	12.00
March 2012	12.38
April 2012	11.00
May 2012	12.00

mg/L = milligrams per liter

The TCEQ is committed to accessibility.

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



# Compliance History Report

PENDING Compliance History Report for CN600520969, RN101607943, Rating Year 2012 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2007, through August 31, 2012.

Customer, Respondent, CN600520969, City of Weslaco

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 0.38

or Owner/Operator: Regulated Entity:

RN101607943, WESLACO PLANT

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 0.30

Complexity

Repeat Violator:

CH Group:

08 - Sewage Treatment Facilities

Location:

located northeast of the City of Weslaco approximately 4,000 feet east of State highway 88 and approximately 4,000

feet north of Pike Boulevard in Hidalgo County, Texas

TCEQ Region:

**REGION 15 - HARLINGEN** 

ID Number(s):

**WASTEWATER PERMIT WQ0010619003** 

**WASTEWATER EPA ID TX0052787** 

WASTEWATER LICENSING LICENSE WQ0010619003

**Compliance History** 

September 01, 2007 to August 31, 2012

Rating Year: 2012

Rating Date:

09/01/2012

**Date Compliance History Report Prepared:** 

October 10, 2012

**Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:** 

Enforcement

Component Period Selected: October 10, 2007 to October 10, 2012

TCEO Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Steve Villatoro (512) 239-4930 **Phone** 

#### Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES NO

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

3) If YES for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

N/A

owner(s)/operator(s)?

N/A

5) If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator

## Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

N/A

**Criminal convictions:** 

Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

Item 1	November 26, 2007	(621655)
Item 2	December 17, 2007	(621656)
Item 3	February 12, 2008	(673601)
Item 4	April 22, 2008	(691870)
Item 5	May 19, 2008	(691871)
Item 6	June 17, 2008	(712867)
Item 7	August 19, 2008	(712869)
Item 8	September 08, 2008	(712868)
Item 9	November 13, 2008	(729091)
Item 10	December 12, 2008	(729092)

Item 11	January 20, 2009	(729093)
Item 12	February 16, 2009	(752247)
Item 13	March 11, 2009	(752248)
Item 14	April 20, 2009	(752250)
Item 15	May 19, 2009	(769931)
Item 16	August 19, 2009	(809390)
Item 17	September 22, 2009	(809391)
Item 18	December 15, 2009	(809394)
Item 19	February 19, 2010	(809389)
Item 20	August 13, 2012	(993714)

#### E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

1 Date: 11/18/2011 (957658) CN600520969

Self NO Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)
2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)(1)
2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)(3)
2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(b)

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(b)
2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(c)
2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(d)
2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(e)
30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(4)
30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(5)
Permit Condition, 20, 91, Page 7. PERMIT

TWC Chapter 26 26.121 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)(2)

Description: Failure to prevent the unauthorized discharge of wastewater into or adjacent to any waters

in the state.

2 Date: 12/31/2011 CN600520969

Self YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

3 Date: 03/31/2012 CN600520969

Self YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Description. Failure to meet the limit for one of more permit parameter

4 Date: 04/30/2012 CN600520969

Self YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

5 Date: 05/31/2012 CN600520969

Self YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

### F. Environmental audits:

Notice of Intent Date: 01/25/2012 (989710)

No DOV Associated

## G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

#### H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/A

### I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

#### J. Early compliance:

N/A

#### **Sites Outside of Texas:**

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
CITY OF WESLACO	§	
RN101607943	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

## AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2012-2105-MWD-E

## I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On _______, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding the City of Weslaco ("Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a wastewater treatment plant located northeast of Weslaco approximately 4,000 feet east of State Highway 88 and approximately 4,000 feet north of Pike Boulevard in Hidalgo County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent has discharged municipal waste into or adjacent to any water in the state under Tex. Water Code ch. 26.
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about October 7, 2012.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Nine Thousand Three Hundred Dollars (\$9,300) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Seven Thousand Four Hundred Forty

Dollars (\$7,440) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Eight Hundred Sixty Dollars (\$1,860) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

#### II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have failed to comply with permitted effluent limits, in violation of Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0010619003 Interim II Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1, as documented during a record review conducted on September 26, 2012 and shown in the table below:

EFFLUENT VIOLATION TABLE				
Months	Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand Daily Average Concentration			
Worths	Limit = 10 mg/L			
December 2011	12.00			
March 2012	12.38			
April 2012	11.00			
May 2012	12.00			

mg/L = milligrams per liter

#### III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

## IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: City of Weslaco, Docket No. 2012-2105-MWD-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. It is further ordered that, within 90 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent shall submit written certification of compliance with the permitted effluent limits of TPDES Permit No. WQ0010619003, including specific corrective actions that were implemented at the Facility to achieve compliance and copies of the most current self-reported discharge monitoring reports, demonstrating at least three consecutive months of compliance with all permitted effluent limits. The certification shall include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with this Ordering Provision. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Water Section Manager Harlingen Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 1804 West Jefferson Avenue Harlingen, Texas 78550-5247

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the

City of Weslaco DOCKET NO. 2012-2105-MWD-E Page 5

Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.

- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 7. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission. including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

For the Commission

# SIGNATURE PAGE

## TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Executive Director	3   3   13 Date
agree to the attached Agreed Order do agree to the terms and conditions	anderstand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I is specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in mount, is materially relying on such representation.
<ul> <li>and/or failure to timely pay the penal</li> <li>A negative impact on compliant</li> <li>Greater scrutiny of any permital</li> <li>Referral of this case to the additional penalties, and/or and</li> <li>Increased penalties in any fut Automatic referral to the Atland</li> <li>TCEQ seeking other relief as</li> </ul>	ance history; it applications submitted; Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, attorney fees, or to a collection agency; ture enforcement actions; torney General's Office of any future enforcement actions;
Signature	Date
Leonardo Olivares  Name (Printed or typed)  Authorized Representative of City of Weslaco	City Manager Title
	Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.